

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Moore & Hansen
2900 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 332-8200
(612) 332-1780 fax

DATE: November 13, 2002**TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE:** 2**FROM:** John D. Kramm**TO:** Commissioner For Patents**LOCATION:** Trademark Examining Operation**ART UNIT:** 3673**EXAMINING ATTORNEY:** Frederick D. Conley**FACSIMILE NUMBER:** (703) 305-3597**APPLICATION NO.:** 09/805,539**PAPERS:** Telephonic Examiner Interview Statement

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT ME AT THE
NUMBER ABOVE.**

FAX RECEIVED

NOV 13 2002

GROUP 3600

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

I hereby certify that this paper for Patent Application Serial No. 09/805,539 is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office fax number (703) 305-3597 on November 13, 2002.

Name of Person Signing Certificate: April D. Kaplan**Signature:** April D. Kaplan **Date of Signing:** November 13, 2002

Practitioner's Docket No. D15-003-02-US

PATENT #5

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Deering, et al.

Application No: 09/805,539
 Filed: March 13, 2001
 For: PILLOW BLANKET

Group No.: 3673
 Examiner: Fredrick Conley

JP
 11/20/02

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Washington, D.C. 20231

OFFICIAL

FAX RECEIVED

NOV 13 2002

Telephonic Examiner Interview Statement
 37 C.F.R. 1.133(b) MPEP § 713.01

GROUP 3600

A telephonic examiner interview was conducted on November 5, 2002, between the Applicants representative and the Examiner. The substance of the interview for the written record is as follows:

1. A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted:
None.
2. The claims discussed:
Claims 5, 1, and new claim 13.
3. The specific prior work discussed:
Earhart U.S. Patent No. 3,919,721, Aikins U.S. Patent No. 6,219,847, Rudy U.S. Patent No. 5,245,717, and Newhaller Des. 417,767.
4. The principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed: None.
5. The general thrust of the principal arguments of the Applicant and the Examiner:
Applicant argued the novelty of the oblong sleeve apertures limitation over all the prior work. Applicant also pointed out the oblique orientation relative to the horizontal center line.
6. Any other pertinent matters discussed: None.
7. The general results or outcome of the interview:
The Examiner is taking the matter under advisement.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8 (a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: NOVEMBER 13, 2002

FACSIMILE

X Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office

April D. Kaplan
 Signature

APRIL D. KAPLAN
 type or print name of person certifying